40 Active Learning Strategies

S/M = Small/Medium classes

L = Large classes

✦ = Asynchronous online suitability

Quick Individual Reflection

1. One-Sentence Summary ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Encourages students to synthesize key concepts succinctly, strengthening clarity and focus.

Description: Students summarize a lesson, reading, or concept in one well-crafted sentence. This simple activity develops concise communication and deepens conceptual understanding by helping learners identify essential ideas and relationships (Angelo & Zakrajsek, 2024).

Teaching Tip: Ask students to share their sentences anonymously on a whiteboard or online discussion to reveal diverse ways of capturing a core concept.

2. Minute Paper ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Checks for understanding and promotes metacognition through brief, reflective writing.

Description: Students respond to quick prompts such as "What was the most important thing you learned today?" or "What questions remain?" This low-stakes exercise helps instructors gauge comprehension, surface misconceptions, and model reflective learning. Reviewing student responses at the start of the next class strengthens the learning loop (Angelo & Zakrajsek, 2024; Stead, 2005).

Teaching Tip: Collect responses through your LMS or a shared document to quickly identify common themes for discussion.

3. Claim–Reaction Note ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Encourages critical reading by prompting students to identify a key claim from the text and offer a focused reaction. This activity supports deeper comprehension and helps students articulate their evolving thinking.

Description: Students choose one claim, argument, or notable passage from the reading and write a brief reaction—agreement, disagreement, curiosity, or a connection to another

idea or context. The exercise invites students to interpret rather than summarize, making their reasoning visible and revealing how they engage with disciplinary texts (Bean, 2011).

Teaching Tip: Have students exchange notes in pairs or post them online. Ask peers to offer one clarifying question or alternative view to extend the conversation.

4. Muddiest Point ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Helps instructors identify areas of confusion and supports students' metacognitive awareness by asking them to pinpoint what aspect of a reading or lesson was most unclear.

Description: Students briefly note the concept, argument, step in a process, or section of a reading that felt most confusing—their "muddiest point." By articulating what they did not understand and why, students practice monitoring their own learning while providing instructors with targeted feedback to guide clarification or review (Angelo & Zakrajsek, 2024).

Teaching Tip: Use Muddiest Points during or after class, or collect them as Exit Tickets at the end of a session. Review a few common themes at the start of the next class to close comprehension gaps and reinforce key ideas.

5. Active Reading/Viewing ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Promotes purposeful engagement with assigned texts or videos by directing students' attention to key ideas, questions, or problems. This strategy supports comprehension, retention, and preparation for in-class learning.

Description: Students receive one or more focused guiding questions to consider as they complete readings or view assigned media. These prompts help students identify essential concepts, evaluate arguments, and make connections before coming to class. Instructors may ask students to jot brief responses, which turns the activity into a Think–Write task, or have students discuss their notes with a peer, making it a Think–Pair–Share activity. Active reading strategies have been shown to support comprehension and analytical reasoning by helping students engage more deliberately with disciplinary texts (Pressley & Afflerbach, 1995).

Teaching Tip: Align guiding questions with the goals of the upcoming class session. Consider collecting brief responses or sampling a few aloud to ensure students are arriving prepared and to surface early misconceptions or insights.

6. Self-Assessment ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Promotes self-awareness and helps students take responsibility for their own learning.

Description: Students evaluate their progress using rubrics, checklists, or reflective prompts. This activity cultivates metacognitive habits and encourages learners to track growth over time. It also helps instructors identify self-perceived challenges or misconceptions (Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006).

Teaching Tip: Ask students to rate their confidence on key learning outcomes using a 1–5 scale and revisit at midterm and semester's end.

Peer-to-Peer Interaction

7. Peer Teaching ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Reinforces learning through the act of explaining and teaching others.

Description: Students assume the role of instructor to present or demonstrate concepts for classmates. This process builds mastery, confidence, and communication skills while diversifying voices in the classroom. It works particularly well for review sessions or in topics requiring conceptual transfer (Mazur, 1997; Mazur & Watkins, 2010).

Teaching Tip: Provide clear expectations and a short checklist of objectives for students to cover; end each peer presentation with one discussion or application question.

8. Collaborative Concept Glossary ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Builds shared understanding and ownership of key terms and disciplinary vocabulary.

Description: Students co-create a glossary of important course concepts, definitions, and examples. This evolving resource fosters collaborative meaning-making and strengthens conceptual coherence across the term (Barkley et al., 2014).

Teaching Tip: Assign rotating "editors" who update and verify glossary entries weekly using examples drawn from lectures or readings.

9. Student-Generated Test Questions ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Facilitate student engagement, analytical thinking and metacognition, and concept mastery; reduce test anxiety and enhance test performance.

Description: Students design quiz or exam questions based on recent lessons, identifying central ideas and testing their ability to apply them (Aflalo, 2021). Reviewing submissions can provide instructors with insight into concepts that are salient to students, their comprehension of these concepts, as well as misunderstandings and gaps.

Teaching Tip: Ask students to write one multiple-choice and one short-answer question with an explanation of why each assesses important learning.

10. Peer Review ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Enhances critical thinking and writing through structured peer feedback.

Description: Students exchange drafts and evaluate them using a rubric or guided prompts. The process develops evaluative judgment and fosters collaboration, as articulating feedback also strengthens the reviewer's own writing skills (Nicol et al., 2014; Svihla et al, 2018.

Teaching Tip: Provide an annotated example of strong peer feedback and require short reflection notes on how comments were applied in revision.

Small Group Collaboration

11. Think–Pair–Share ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Increases engagement and equity in classroom discussion.

Description: Students first think independently about a prompt, then discuss with a partner, and finally share insights with the class. This structured format invites all learners to participate, builds confidence, and surfaces diverse perspectives (Barkley et al., 2014).

Teaching Tip: Use timers for each stage and vary prompts—concept explanation, application, or reflection—to keep the routine dynamic.

12. Think–Write–Pair–Share ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Deepens analysis by adding a written reflection step.

Description: Students first write short responses before pairing and sharing (Md et al., 2020; Rahman & Golamgouse-Toraub, 2025). The writing component enhances accountability and provides a bridge for quieter students to join discussion (Medaille & Usinger, 2019).

Teaching Tip: Ask students to submit their written reflections to the LMS afterward for quick formative feedback.

13. Round-Robin Brainstorming (S/M)

Purpose: Ensures balanced participation and idea generation.

Description: Within small groups, each member contributes one idea in turn, preventing domination by a few voices and promoting creativity. This approach is particularly effective in planning projects or solving open-ended problems (The Consortium for Public Education, n.d.).

Teaching Tip: Use a shared digital document to record ideas so quieter students can add thoughts asynchronously.

14. Snowball Discussions (L)

Purpose: Builds collective understanding through progressively larger group dialogue.

Description: Students start in pairs, then merge into groups of four, then eight, until the whole class joins the discussion. Each stage allows synthesis and clarification of ideas before sharing broadly (Barkley et al., 2014).

Teaching Tip: Provide a clear focus question for each round to maintain coherence as groups expand.

15. Peer Interviews ✦ (S/M)

Purpose: Promotes listening, synthesis, and perspective-taking.

Description: Students interview one another on course topics, personal experiences, or interpretations of readings, and summarize their partner's responses. Students develop critical thinking skills as they compare their own ideas to those of their peers (Liu, 2020).

Teaching Tip: Supply 3–4 starter questions and a quick note sheet to guide focus; use brief pair summaries to launch class discussion.

Discussion-Based Activities

16. Socratic Seminar (S/M, L)

Purpose: Cultivates critical inquiry through evidence-based dialogue.

Description: Students explore complex texts or ideas using open-ended questions that require reasoning and support. The instructor serves as facilitator rather than lecturer, encouraging students to build on peers' contributions (Chowning, 2009; Tredway, 1995).

Teaching Tip: Provide discussion norms and a note-taking template distinguishing claims, evidence, and counterpoints.

17. Literature Circles ✦ (S/M)

Purpose: Promotes active reading and shared interpretation.

Description: Small groups discuss assigned readings with rotating roles such as "connector," or "questioner" (Ma et al., 2023). Online versions can use forums or collaborative documents. While this active learning strategy is seen most often in the K-12 literature, it holds promise for higher education settings (Levy, 2011).

Teaching Tip: Rotate roles weekly to keep engagement fresh and ensure all students practice multiple discussion skills.

18. Fishbowl (S/M, L)

Purpose: Encourages active listening and inclusive participation.

Description: A small group discusses a topic while peers observe; roles then rotate. This method highlights effective communication and allows observation of discussion dynamics (Han & Hamilton, 2023).

Teaching Tip: Give observers guiding questions such as "What reasoning patterns emerged?" to focus attention.

19. Debates (S/M, L)

Purpose: Develops argumentation and respectful discourse.

Description: Students research and defend opposing positions on an issue, integrating evidence and logic. Debates foster higher-order thinking and perspective-taking (Kennedy, 2007).

Teaching Tips: Follow a clear for-and-against structure, designed to encourage evidence-based arguments alongside students' own perspectives, provide targeted support for students who are unfamiliar with the format or lack confidence, and integrate peer assessment (Brown, 2015). Consider ending with a brief written reflection where students articulate what, if anything, shifted in their thinking.

Problem Solving & Application

20. Case Studies ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Bridges theory and practice through contextualized scenarios and can include role plays or role-play components.

Description: Students analyze realistic cases requiring decision-making and justification. Widely used in professional programs, this method supports application of conceptual frameworks to practice (Herreid, 2007).

Teaching Tip: Conclude with a short "Lessons Learned" reflection to connect case outcomes to course objectives.

21. Problem-Solving Workshops ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Applies theoretical knowledge to authentic challenges.

Description: Students collaborate on multifaceted problems mirroring real-world contexts. They analyze data, propose solutions, evaluate trade-offs, and developing critical thinking, and teamwork skills (Karantzas et al., 2013).

Teaching Tip: Present problems that have more than one valid solution path to promote creative reasoning.

22. Application Papers ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Connects course concepts to lived experience and professional contexts.

Description: Students write short essays applying theories or ideas to real-world cases or personal experiences. This bridges knowledge and practice, deepening understanding through reflection (Butcher, 2022)

Teaching Tip: Provide a short rubric emphasizing clarity of connection between theory and example rather than formal writing mechanics.

23. Community-Engaged Projects ✦ (S/M)

Connects course concepts with real-world community contexts, helping students apply disciplinary knowledge in meaningful ways while fostering civic awareness, collaboration, and reflective learning.

Description: Students collaborate with a community partner or organization on a project aligned with course goals—such as supporting an ongoing initiative, conducting applied research, or contributing to a public-facing resource. Coursework informs the community collaboration, and students bring insights from their experiences back into class discussions to deepen understanding (Coles-Ritchie et al., 2022). Reflection remains central; short journals or online discussion posts help students connect their community engagement with course theories and concepts (Hatcher et al., 2004).

Teaching Tip: Whether in person or online, start with small, clearly scoped projects to ensure feasibility. When working virtually, encourage partnerships that allow remote contributions—such as digital resource development, data analysis, or outreach support—and maintain regular check-ins with partners to ensure mutual benefit.

Teaching Tip: Maintain communication with community partners to ensure a reciprocal, manageable experience for both students and the organization.

Movement & Visuals

24. Gallery Walk (S/M, L)

Purpose: Encourages movement, feedback, and synthesis.

Description: Students create posters or visual summaries and circulate to view peers' work, leaving comments or questions. This interactive display promotes discussion and peer learning (Francek, 2006).

Teaching Tip: Provide colored sticky notes for feedback—each color representing a different type of comment (e.g., question, insight, connection).

25. Interactive Timelines ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Organizes events or ideas chronologically to reveal relationships.

Description: Students design timelines showing the evolution of concepts, historical periods, or processes. Digital tools such as [TimelineJS](#) or [Preceden](#) allow collaborative online creation.

Teaching Tip: Have students annotate each point with key takeaways or links to primary sources for richer context.

26. Concept Maps ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Visualizes conceptual relationships for deeper learning.

Description: Students map how ideas connect using nodes and linking phrases. Concept maps reveal misconceptions and support integrative thinking (Hay et al., 2008).

Teaching Tip: Ask students to revise maps periodically to show conceptual growth over the course.

27. Comparison Charts ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Develops analytical reasoning through structured comparison.

Description: Students list similarities and differences between theories, cases, or data sets. The visual format highlights patterns and distinctions that aid comprehension (Barkley et al., 2014).

Teaching Tip: Provide an initial partially filled chart to model depth of analysis expected. Games & Creative Engagement

28. Scavenger Hunt ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Boosts engagement and curiosity through exploration.

Description: Students search for information, data, or examples related to course content—physically or online (Camacho & Legare, 2015). This approach reinforces discovery learning and collaboration (Rathore & Griffith, 2024; Swenty et al, 2016).

Teaching Tip: Include reflective follow-up questions linking each "find" to course objectives.

29. Crosswords / Concept Puzzles (S/M, L)

Purpose: Strengthens recall and conceptual connections.

Description: Students complete or create puzzles using course terminology (Saxena et al., 2009). These playful tasks improve retention through repetition and pattern recognition.

Teaching Tip: Invite students to design puzzles collaboratively as a review before exams.

30. Clicker Questions and Polls (L)

Purpose: Encourages active participation in large classes.

Description: Clickers or online polling tools allow instructors to pose real-time questions, providing instant feedback and opportunities for peer instruction (Caldwell, 2007; Anderson et al., 2023).

Teaching Tip: Use conceptual, not recall-based questions and let students discuss responses before re-polling.

Technology-Enhanced Strategies

31. Interactive Textbook Engagement ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Enhances comprehension and retention by engaging students in digital textbook features—quizzes, flashcards, concept checks—that prompt active reading.

Description: Many publishers offer platforms that embed interactive elements directly into the reading experience. By selecting a textbook on one of these platforms, you can curate only the features that best support your learning objectives (e.g., chapter quizzes, concept checks, flashcards). Students complete the selected activities while reading or viewing. This approach uses formative assessment principles to promote self-regulated learning and helps students monitor their understanding.

Teaching Tip: Assign only the interactive components most connected to your outcomes. Have students submit their brief reflection or a screenshot of completion, and use their input to identify concepts that may need review in class.

32. Flipped Classroom Exercises ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Maximizes class time for active learning.

Description: Students review lectures or materials before class and spend in-person sessions applying knowledge through problem-solving or discussion. The flipped model supports deeper cognitive engagement (Redecopp & Ragusa, 2013).

Teaching Tip: Start each class with a short readiness quiz or reflection to ensure accountability for pre-class work.

33. Shared Online Whiteboards ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Facilitates collaborative visualization and idea building.

Description: Digital whiteboards such as Miro or Jamboard allow real-time drawing, annotation, and mapping of ideas. They encourage interaction and co-construction of knowledge (Hennessy, 2011).

Teaching Tip: Assign each group a color or section to keep contributions organized during brainstorming.

34. Interactive Simulations ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Provides experiential practice in a low-risk environment.

Description: Students engage with digital or physical simulations to model complex systems or decision-making processes. Simulations strengthen applied understanding and problem-solving (Peterková et al., 2022).

Teaching Tip: Conclude with a brief written debrief asking students to connect outcomes to theoretical principles.

35. Digital Storytelling ✦ (S/M)

Purpose: Integrates creativity and reflection using multimedia.

Description: Students craft short digital narratives that connect personal experience or research findings to course concepts. Storytelling humanizes academic content and develops communication skills (Robin, 2016).

Teaching Tip: Provide a short checklist covering narrative focus, visuals, and audio to scaffold quality projects.

Reflection & Metacognition

36. Think-Aloud ✦ (S/M)

Purpose: Makes cognitive processes visible.

Description: Students verbalize their thought steps while solving a problem or analyzing a text, helping both peers and instructors observe reasoning strategies (Pergams et al., 2018).

Teaching Tip: Model the process first, then have students record short think-alouds explaining how they reached conclusions.

37. Exam Wrappers ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Builds metacognitive awareness about learning strategies.

Description: After an assessment, students reflect on preparation methods, performance, and planned adjustments. Exam wrappers turn evaluation into a learning opportunity and promote self-regulated learning (Ratnayake et al., 2024).

Teaching Tip: Include 3–4 specific prompts such as "What study strategy worked best?" and revisit responses before the next exam.

38. Double-Entry Journals ✦ (S/M)

Purpose: Encourages critical engagement with readings.

Description: Students divide a page into two columns—one for key quotations and one for personal responses or connections. This structure supports analytical reading and personal meaning-making (Ives et al., 2020).

Teaching Tip: Ask students to share one entry in pairs to open discussion and highlight multiple interpretations of the same passage.

39. Learning Portfolios ✦ (S/M, L)

Purpose: Promotes integrative reflection and growth tracking.

Description: Students compile artifacts and reflective commentary demonstrating learning progress and achievement. Portfolios encourage goal setting, self-assessment, and long-term reflection (Zubizarreta, 2004; Scully et al., 2018).

Teaching Tip: Include a "growth narrative" introduction where students articulate major shifts in understanding across the term.

40. Learning Contracts ✦ (S/M)

Purpose: Supports autonomy and accountability through goal setting.

Description: Students negotiate individual learning goals, evidence of achievement, and timelines with the instructor. This personalized approach strengthens ownership and motivation (Brewer et al., 2007).

Teaching Tip: Review contracts mid-semester to adjust goals and celebrate progress.

References

Aflalo, E. (2021). Students generating questions as a way of learning. *Active Learning in Higher Education, 22*(1), 63–75. https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787417740990

Angelo, T. A., & Zakrajsek, T. D. (2024). Classroom assessment techniques: formative feedback tools for college and university teachers. John Wiley & Sons.

Barkley, E. F., Major, C. H., & Cross, K. P. (2014). *Collaborative learning techniques: A handbook for college faculty* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Bean, J. C. (2011). Engaging ideas: The professor's guide to integrating writing, critical thinking, and active learning in the classroom (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Brewer, G., Williams, A., & Sher, W. (2007). Utilising learning contracts to stimulate student ownership of learning. Proceedings of the 2007 AaeE Conference, Melbourne.

Brown, Z. (2015). The use of in-class debates as a teaching strategy in increasing students' critical thinking and collaborative learning skills in higher education.

Butcher, C. (2022). Using in-class writing to promote critical thinking and application of course concepts. *Journal of Political Science Education*, *18*(1), 3-21.

Caldwell, J. E. (2007). Clickers in the large classroom: Current research and best-practice tips. *CBE—Life Sciences Education, 6*(1), 9–20. https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.06-12-0205

Camacho, D. J., & Legare, J. M. (2015). Opportunities to create active learning techniques in the classroom. *Journal of Instructional Research*, *4*, 38.

Chowning, J. T. (2009). Socratic seminars in science class: Providing a structured format to promote dialogue and understanding. *Science teacher (normal, Ill.)*, *76*(7), 36.

Coles-Ritchie, M., Power, C. A., Farrell, C., & Valerio, M. (2022). Pedagogy matters: A framework for critical community-engaged courses in higher education. *Gateways: International Journal of Community Research and Engagement*, *15*(1), 1-16.

Francek, M. (2006). Promoting discussion in the science classroom using gallery walks. *Journal of College Science Teaching, 36*(1), 27–31.

Han, M., & Hamilton, E. R. (2023). Promoting engagement and learning: Using the fishbowl strategy in online and hybrid college courses. *College Teaching*, *71*(4), 281-289.

Hatcher, J. A., Bringle, R. G., & Muthiah, R. (2004). Designing effective reflection: What matters to service-learning? *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning, 11*(1), 38–46.

Hay, D., Kinchin, I., & Lygo-Baker, S. (2008). Making learning visible: the role of concept mapping in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education, 33*(3), 295-311.

Hennessy, S. (2011). The role of digital artefacts on the interactive whiteboard in supporting classroom dialogue. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 27*(6), 463–489. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2011.00416.x

Herreid, C. F. (Ed.). (2007). Start with a story: The case study method of teaching college science. NSTA Press.

Ives, L., Mitchell, T. J., & Hübl, H. (2020). Promoting Critical Reading with Double-Entry Notes: A Pilot Study. *InSight: A Journal of Scholarly Teaching*, *15*, 13-32.

Johnson, B. C., & Kiviniemi, M. T. (2009). The effect of online chapter quizzes on exam performance in an undergraduate social psychology course. *Teaching of Psychology, 36*(1), 33–37. https://doi.org/10.1080/00986280802528972

Karantzas, G. C., Avery, M. R., Macfarlane, S., Mussap, A., Tooley, G., Hazelwood, Z., & Fitness, J. (2013). Enhancing critical analysis and problem-solving skills in undergraduate psychology: An evaluation of a collaborative learning and problem-based learning approach. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, *65*(1), 38-45.

Kennedy, R. (2007). In-class debates: Fertile ground for active learning and the cultivation of critical thinking and oral communication skills. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education,* *19*(2), 183–190.

Levy, R. J. (2011). Literature circles go to college. *Journal of Basic Writing*, 53-83.

Liu, S. H. (2020). Dialogue-based peer learning of educational topics in a university classroom. *Learning and teaching*, *13*(2), 41-61.

Ma, L., Ismail, L., & Saharuddin, N. (2023). Chinese university English-major students' attitude toward literature circles and literature reading. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, *22*(7), 52-69.

Mazur, E. (1997). *Peer instruction: A user's manual*. Prentice Hall.

Mazur, E. & Watkins, J. (2010). Just-in-Time Teaching and Peer Instruction. In S. P. Simkins & M. H. Maier (Eds.), Just-in-Time Teaching: Across the Disciplines, Across the Academy (pp. 39–62). Sterling, VA: Stylus Publishing.

Md Naim, I. A., Nik Luqman, N. M. A., & Matmin, J. (2020). Enhancing students' writing performance in higher learning through think-write-pair-share: An experimental study. *Asian Journal of University Education (AJUE)*, *16*(3), 255-264.

Medaille, A., & Usinger, J. (2019). Engaging quiet students in the college classroom. *College Teaching*, *67*(2), 130-137.

Nicol, D., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education, 31*(2), 199–218. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572090

Nicol, D., Thomson, A., & Breslin, C. (2014). Rethinking feedback practices in higher education: A peer review perspective. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 39*(1), 102–122. https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2013.795518

Pergams, O. R., Jake-Matthews, C. E., & Mohanty, L. M. (2018). A combined read-aloud think-aloud strategy improves student learning experiences in college-level biology courses. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, *47*(5), 10-15.

Peterková, J., Repaská, Z., & Prachařová, L. (2022). Best practice of using digital business simulation games in business education. *Sustainability*, *14*(15), 8987.

Pressley, M., & Afflerbach, P. (1995). Verbal protocols of reading: The nature of constructively responsive reading. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Rahman, S. U., & Golamgouse-Toraub, H. (2025). The impact of a think, write, pair, and share (TWPS) activity on pupils' confidence, critical thinking, and understanding of mathematics lesson. *Discover Education*, *4*(1), 489.

Rathore, H., & Griffith, H. (2024, June). A Novel Scavenger Hunt Activity for Increasing Student Engagement in Cryptography Coursework. In *2024 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition*.

Ratnayake, A., Bansal, A., Wong, N., Saseetharan, T., Prompiengchai, S., Jenne, A. & Ashok, A. (2024). All "wrapped" up in reflection: Supporting metacognitive awareness to promote students' self-regulated learning. *Journal of Microbiology and Biology Education*, *25*(1), e00103-23.

Redekopp, M. W., & Ragusa, G. (2013, June). Evaluating flipped classroom strategies and tools for computer engineering. In *2013 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition* (pp. 23-548).

Robin, B. R. (2016). The power of digital storytelling to support teaching and learning. *Digital Education Review, 30*, 17–29. https://doi.org/10.1344/der.2016.30.17-29

Saxena, A., Nesbitt, R., Pahwa, P., & Mills, S. (2009). Crossword puzzles: active learning in undergraduate pathology and medical education. *Archives of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine*, *133*(9), 1457-1462.

Scully, D., O'Leary, M., & Brown, M. (2018). *The learning portfolio in higher education: A game of snakes and ladders* (Report). Dublin City University, Centre for Assessment Research, Policy & Practice in Education (CARPE) & National Institute for Digital Learning (NIDL). https://www.dcu.ie/sites/default/files/editor/2024-10/learning-portfolios-in-higher-education-2018.pdf

Stead, D. R. (2005). A review of the one-minute paper. *Active Learning in Higher Education, 6*(2), 118–131.

Svihla, V., Hubka, C. A., & Chi, E. (2018, June). Peer review and reflection in engineering labs: Writing to learn and learning to write. In *2018 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition*.

Swenty, M. K., D'Alessandro, K. C., & Dymond, B. (2016, June). A Scavenger Hunt to Connect the As-Built World to Structural Engineering Theory. In *2016 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition*.

The Consortium for Public Education. (n.d.). *PBL: Brainstorming — Round-Robin Brainstorming*. https://www.theconsortiumforpubliceducation.org/resource/project-based-learning-resources-pbl/brainstorming/

Tredway, L. (1995). Socratic seminars: Engaging students in intellectual discourse. *Educational Leadership, 53*(1), 26–29.

Zubizarreta, J. (2004). The learning portfolio: Reflective practice for improving student learning (1st ed.). Anker Publishing.